1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON SEATTLE DIVISION 8 JOSEPH ANDREW HYLKEMA, a single No. 9 man, Plaintiff | COMPLAINT 10 v. 11 GC SERVICES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP of Delaware and JANE DOE McKEE, 12 jointly and severally, Defendants 13 14 COMES NOW the Plaintiff who, for causes of action set forth 15 below, hereby sues defendants above-named (collectively referred 16 to as "Defendant" herein) and for his complaint alleges the 17 18 following: 1. NATURE OF ACTION 19 1.1. This is an action for damages for violations of the Fair 20 Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et 21 Plaintiff brings this action in the capacity of a private 2.2 seq. attorney general to impose strict liability upon all defendants 23 and each of them individually for their violations of the FDCPA. 24 JOSEPH ANDREW HYLKEMA COMPLAINT - 1

JOSEPH ANDREW HYLKEMA 477 PEACE PORTAL DRIVE #107-244 BLAINE, WA 98230 (206) 202-4530 EMAIL: HYLKEMAJ@ISOMEDIA.COM

1 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 2 2.1. Jurisdiction arises under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d). is proper in this Court 3 Venue 4 Defendant transacts business here and the conduct complained of 5 occurred here. 3. PARTIES AND BACKGROUND 6 7 3.1. Plaintiff is a sui juris adult who has been the subject of Defendant's debt collection activities that took place within 8 9 this District and has been damaged thereby. 10 3.2. Defendant GC SERVICES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP's offices principal place of business are located at 6330 Gulfton Drive, 11 12 Houston, TX 77081. Upon information and belief, the conduct 13 complained of herein originated from GC's branch office located at 4777 Hilton Corporate Drive, Columbus, OH 43232. 14 15 3.3. Defendant GC is authorized to do business in the state of 16 Washington through its registered agent CT Corporation System 17 located at 206 - 1801 West Bay Drive NW, Olympia, WA 98502. 18 3.4. Defendant GC is the assignee of Plaintiff's alleged 19 obligation non-party U.S. Department to pay money to of 20 Education (the "Alleged Debt"). The Alleged Debt arose out of 21 household transactions primarily for personal, family or purposes. 22 3.5. Defendant GC's principal business purpose is the collection 23 of debts. GC regularly attempts to collect debts asserted to be 24 COMPLAINT - 2

JOSEPH ANDREW HYLKEMA 477 PEACE PORTAL DRIVE #107-244 BLAINE, WA 98230 (206) 202-4530 EMAIL: HYLKEMAJ@ISOMEDIA.COM

- 1 owed or due another using the telephone, mails, and other
- 2 instrumentalities of interstate commerce and did so attempt to
- 3 | collect the Alleged Debt from Plaintiff.
- 4 | 3.6. Defendant JANE DOE McKEE, sued herein in her personal
- 5 | capacity, is a *sui juris* adult and at all times relevant herein
- 6 was an employee of defendant GC.
- 7 | 3.7. Upon information and belief, the name used by Defendant
- 8 McKEE is not her true name but is a pseudonym (in industry
- 9 parlance, a "desk name") used while attempting to collect debts.
- 10 Plaintiff therefore does not definitively know the true identity
- 11 of Defendant McKEE at this time and she is therefore sued herein
- 12 by such fictitious name. Plaintiff will ascertain Defendant
- 13 McKEE's true identity and will amend this Complaint to reflect
- 14 the same.
- 15 | 3.8. Defendant McKEE was hired, trained, and supervised using
- 16 GC's methods, materials, and personnel. All of Defendant
- 17 McKEE's conduct complained of herein was done with GC's full
- 18 knowledge, consent, and support; was within the course and scope
- 19 of her employment with GC; and was done in furtherance of GC's
- 20 business.

4. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 22 4.1. Defendant has attempted to collect the Alleged Debt through
- 23 a campaign of phone calls and voice mail messages.

24

21

- 1 4.2. On or about December 22, 2009 at approximately 3:26 P.M.
- 2 Defendant called Plaintiff and left a message on Plaintiff's
- 3 voice mail as follows:
- This message is for Joseph Hylkema, Joseph, this is Miss McKee I need a return call at 877-359-5523. Thank you.

5

13

- 6 4.3. Defendant did not provide any meaningful disclosure of the
- 7 | caller's identity and did not disclose that it was a debt
- 8 collector when it called Plaintiff.
- 9 4.4. Defendant's conduct herein was persistent, deliberate, and
- 10 | in bad faith.
- 11 | 4.5. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct
- 12 herein, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

5. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - VIOLATION OF FDCPA

- 14 COUNT ONE VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6)
- 15 | 5.1. Defendant has placed telephone calls to Plaintiff without
- 16 meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity as required.
- 17 | See, inter alia, Hosseinzadeh v. M.R.S. Associates, Inc., 387
- 18 F.Supp.2d 1104, 1116 (C.D.Cal. 2005).
- 19 COUNT TWO VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10)
- 20 5.2. Defendant has attempted to collect the Alleged Debt by
- 21 | false, misleading and deceptive means by failing to disclose
- 22 that it was a debt collector in its telephone messages and by
- 23 placement of telephone calls to Plaintiff without meaningful
- 24 disclosure of the caller's identity.

1	COUNT THREE - VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11)
2	5.3. Defendant has failed to disclose that its phone calls were
3	from a debt collector as required. See, inter alia, Foti v. NCO
4	Fin. Sys., Inc., 424 F. Supp. 2d 643, 669 (S.D.N.Y. 2006).
5	6. NO BONA FIDE ERROR DEFENSE
6	6.1. To the extent that any violations of the FDCPA complained
7	of herein were not intentional, Defendant failed to maintain
8	procedures reasonably adapted to avoid such errors. Further,
9	any such errors were errors of law that are not excused by the
10	bona fide error defense. See Baker v. GC Services, Inc., 677
11	F.2d 775, 779 (9 th Cir. 1982). Thus, the 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d)
12	bona fide error defense does not apply.
1.0	7. PRAYER FOR RELIEF
13	
13	7.1. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays the Court enter
	7.1. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays the Court enter judgment against Defendants, and each of them jointly and
14	
14 15	judgment against Defendants, and each of them jointly and
14 15 16	judgment against Defendants, and each of them jointly and severally, as follows:
14 15 16 17	<pre>judgment against Defendants, and each of them jointly and severally, as follows: 7.1.1. For money damages, including actual damages and the</pre>
14 15 16 17	<pre>judgment against Defendants, and each of them jointly and severally, as follows: 7.1.1. For money damages, including actual damages and the maximum statutory damages permitted under the FDCPA, and</pre>
14 15 16 17 18	judgment against Defendants, and each of them jointly and severally, as follows: 7.1.1. For money damages, including actual damages and the maximum statutory damages permitted under the FDCPA, and 7.1.2. For costs and fees of the suit, including reasonable
14 15 16 17 18 19	judgment against Defendants, and each of them jointly and severally, as follows: 7.1.1. For money damages, including actual damages and the maximum statutory damages permitted under the FDCPA, and 7.1.2. For costs and fees of the suit, including reasonable attorney fees in the event that Plaintiff instructs counsel
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	judgment against Defendants, and each of them jointly and severally, as follows: 7.1.1. For money damages, including actual damages and the maximum statutory damages permitted under the FDCPA, and 7.1.2. For costs and fees of the suit, including reasonable attorney fees in the event that Plaintiff instructs counsel herein or to enforce any judgment entered herein in this or any

```
7.1.3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem
 1
 2
    just, proper and equitable.
 3
    DATED: February 9, 2010
    S/Joseph A. Hylkema
 4
    JOSEPH ANDREW HYLKEMA
 5
    WSBA: N/A
    Plaintiff pro se
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

COMPLAINT - 6

JOSEPH ANDREW HYLKEMA 477 PEACE PORTAL DRIVE #107-244 BLAINE, WA 98230 (206) 202-4530 EMAIL: HYLKEMAJ@ISOMEDIA.COM